

4.Discussion



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

1 Discussion

Orthoses were used during much of recorded history[1,2]. During the 20th century, the evolution of industrial manufacturing processes has significantly influenced the way FO have been made[3–20]. In the 1930s, the development of the thermoforming process significantly influenced the innovation in the field of FO[3,4,13–17]. In 1958, Root was one of first to experiment the vacuum forming techniques with thermoplastics [3] and his Root Functional orthoses theory has been followed by other FOs such as the UC-BL [4], the Blake Inverted Orthosis [13–15] and the medial heel skive[16]. In the 1980's, the subtractive manufacturing technique was introduced in the FO field [18], approximately 30 years after the first commercial numerical-control programming system had been developed [19]. Once more, the development of a manufacturing technique significantly influenced the innovation in the FO field [19]. To date, the most recent manufacturing method which has been implemented in the FO field is the additive manufacturing (AM)[5–12,20]. It is recognised that the digital manufacturing process provides a lot of opportunities such as a potentially increased accuracy of the product manufactured[21–23] and the ability to better embrace the concept of dosage response modelling [24–34]. However, the potential of the digital manufacturing process has not yet been sufficiently explored in the field of orthotics [35] which could be explained by the fact that some barriers to its proper implementation persist[35–39].

The evolution in the design and manufacturing of FO has inevitably led to an adaptation of the skills of foot health practitioners [3,4,13–17,22,40,41]. At this time, the latest developments in the design and manufacture of FO have been made possible thanks to technological advances [5–12,18–20]. Since these technologies are still evolving a lot [21,42–44], it is highly probable that their implication in FO intervention is at an early stage [45–49]. However, it is believed that their potential added value lies in their ability to produce parts with high level of customisation and geometric complexity without impacting their costs [22] and in their potential to make FO with new functionalities [9,21–23,35,46,48–57].

Whereas the innovative technologies provide some promising opportunities to add value to the FO intervention, the foot health practitioner should keep in mind that this value should always be defined around the patient [59]. The concept of creating value in the domain of healthcare originates from the business world where it is suggested that value of a product or service should always be defined around the customer [59,60]. Since people are increasingly requiring more environmentally responsible products [62], our consortium of experts in the field of FO suggest that the value of a FO intervention can be subdivided into economic, ecological and experience value [59,60]. Based on this, it can be considered that a FO intervention is appropriate for a patient as long as it can create the economic, ecological and experience values which have been defined around that patient [59,60].

While different FO interventions could be appropriate for a specific patient [63] there is currently a lack of data specific to FO intervention which could guide the clinical decision making. To address this problem, some research has newly introduced a concept originating from the pharmaceutical industry [27] which analyse how incrementally modifying certain parameters/features of the FO (dose of stimulus) impact a variable observed (response) [12,17–20]. Facilitating the accurate replication of FO analysed in those research within clinical practice would allow a less subjective implementation of the dosage-response modelling concept. In this perspective, some efforts have been made in this paper to take full advantage of the ability of computer-assisted techniques to replicate accurately a FO [64,65]. Firstly, a new terminology was introduced with the objective to promote a common and adequate description of the inputs and their protocol of acquisition. Secondly,

this paper encourages the use of CAD systems in which a mathematical foundation determines how the FO geometry behave when certain features are changed[66–71]. Sharing these mathematical foundations would provide the opportunity to reproduce a specific FO geometry modification [64,66,70]. Thirdly, the geometric design process, the material design process and the manufacturing process used should also be communicated in order to give the ability to replicate them [72–74]. By sharing this information in their studies, researchers would promote a more cautious replication of the FO they have investigated and could therefore guide the creation of value of FO intervention in the clinic. In the future, the use of computerised clinical decision support systems is also a promising opportunity to guide the foot health practitioner in the creation of value of FO intervention [75].

This work has, among other things, highlighted how the field of foot orthotics has been influenced and inspired by certain advances and concepts in other fields such as engineering, business, and pharmacology. In addition, this work put forward that the implication of technologies in FO intervention are still at an early stage but their ability to produce parts with high level of customisation and geometric complexity without impacting their costs and their potential to make FO with new functionalities are promising. Aside from the fact that these technologies bring great opportunities in the field of FO, practitioners should keep in mind that their use can only be considered as appropriate when it creates value for the patient.

2 <u>Reference</u>

[1] Orthotics: A Comprehensive Clinical Approach - Joan E. Edelstein, Jan Bruckner - Google Livres, (n.d.).

https://books.google.lu/books?id=bx2ovql1Ls8C&pg=PA12&lpg=PA12&dq=wood+foot+orthot ics&source=bl&ots=UlsZjJuic5&sig=ACfU3U2x0VcSZiQdWFsapPwVSxgU9OioEA&hl=fr&sa=X&v ed=2ahUKEwiZyvTU1oDoAhWixMQBHR1JDCsQ6AEwGHoECAkQAQ#v=onepage&q=wood foot orthotics&f=false (accessed March 4, 2020).

- [2] Biologically Inspired Intelligent Robots Yoseph Bar-Cohen, Cynthia L. Breazeal Google Livres, (n.d.). https://books.google.fr/books?hl=fr&lr=&id=-5SZiAKpFwgC&oi=fnd&pg=PA103&dq=(%22evolution%22+OR+%22history%22)+AND+(%22ort hotic%22+OR+%22orthos%22)+AND+(%22Fabrication%22+OR+%22manufacture%22+OR+%2 2production%22)&ots=i5IVdz5wtu&sig=ERn3w43B9EWLhkImF8zqTeAM8eA#v=onepage&q&f =false (accessed March 4, 2020).
- [3] Neutral Position Casting Techniques Merton L. Root, John H. Weed, William P. Orien Google Livres, (n.d.).
 https://books.google.lu/books/about/Neutral_Position_Casting_Techniques.html?id=qKR3GQ AACAAJ&redir_esc=y (accessed March 4, 2020).
- [4] W.. Henderson, J.. Campbell, UC-BL SHOE INSERT Casting and Fabrication, Bull. Prosthet. Res. Spring (1969).
- مبانی شیمی مواد غذایی جان دمان) ,1394 (834–845 No Title، ب.ق .زاده [5]
- [6] A.S. Salles, D.E. Gyi, Delivering personalised insoles to the high street using additive manufacturing, Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf. 26 (2013) 386–400. https://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2012.717721.
- [7] S.P. Sun, Y.J. Chou, C.C. Sue, Classification and mass production technique for three-quarter shoe insoles using non-weight-bearing plantar shapes, Appl. Ergon. 40 (2009) 630–635. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2008.05.001.
- [8] D. Cook, V. Gervasi, R. Rizza, S. Kamara, X.C. Liu, Additive fabrication of custom pedorthoses for clubfoot correction, Rapid Prototyp. J. 16 (2010) 189–193. https://doi.org/10.1108/13552541011034852.
- [9] M. Davia-Aracil, J.J. Hinojo-Pérez, A. Jimeno-Morenilla, H. Mora-Mora, 3D printing of functional anatomical insoles, Comput. Ind. 95 (2018) 38–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2017.12.001.
- [10] C.E. Dombroski, M.E.R. Balsdon, A. Froats, The use of a low cost 3D scanning and printing tool in the manufacture of custom-made foot orthoses: A preliminary study, BMC Res. Notes. 7 (2014) 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-7-443.
- [11] R. Rizza, Newly Design Foot Orthosis for children with residual Clubfoot after Ponseti Casting., (2016).
- [12]M. Leite, B. Soares, V. Lopes, S. Santos, M.T. Silva, Design for personalized medicine in orthotics
and prosthetics, Procedia CIRP. 84 (2019) 457–461.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2019.04.254.
- R.L. Blake, J.A. Denton, Functional foot orthoses for athletic injuries. A retrospective study., J.
 Am. Podiatr. Med. Assoc. 75 (1985) 359–362. https://doi.org/10.7547/87507315-75-7-359.
- [14] R.L. Blake, H. Ferguson, Foot orthosis for the severe flatfoot in sports., J. Am. Podiatr. Med.

Assoc. 81 (1991) 549–55. https://doi.org/10.7547/87507315-81-10-549.

- [15] R.L. Blake, Inverted functional orthosis., J. Am. Podiatr. Med. Assoc. 76 (1986) 275–276. https://doi.org/10.7547/87507315-76-5-275.
- K.A. Kirby, The medial heel skive technique. Improving pronation control in foot orthoses., J. Am. Podiatr. Med. Assoc. 82 (1992) 177–88. https://doi.org/10.7547/87507315-82-4-177.
- [17] K.A. Kirby, History and Evolution of Foot and Lower Extremity Biomechanics and Foot Orthoses What is the History of Foot Biomechanics and Foot Orthoses ? Leonardo DaVinci Giovanni Borelli Nicolas Andry Petrus Camper, (2015) 1–15.
- [18] T.B. Staats, M.P. Kriechbaum, Computer Aided Design and Computer Aided Manufacturing of Foot Orthoses, (1989).
- [19] D.B. Deshmukh, A Review on Role of CAD / CAM in Designing for Skill Development, Int. J. Res. Eng. Sci. Technol. 1 (2016).
- [20] K.S. Gibson, J. Woodburn, D. Porter, S. Telfer, Functionally optimized orthoses for early rheumatoid arthritis foot disease: A study of mechanisms and patient experience, Arthritis Care Res. 66 (2014) 1456–1464. https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22060.
- [21] O. Abdulhameed, A. Al-Ahmari, W. Ameen, S.H. Mian, Additive manufacturing: Challenges, trends, and applications, Adv. Mech. Eng. 11 (2019) 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1177/1687814018822880.
- [22] B.P. Conner, G.P. Manogharan, A.N. Martof, L.M. Rodomsky, C.M. Rodomsky, D.C. Jordan, J.W. Limperos, Making sense of 3-D printing: Creating a map of additive manufacturing products and services, Addit. Manuf. 1 (2014) 64–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2014.08.005.
- [23] J.W. Michael, Reflections on CAD/CAM in prosthetics and orthotics, J. Prosthetics Orthot. 1 (1989) 116–121. https://doi.org/10.1097/00008526-198904000-00005.
- [24] I.B. Griffiths, S.K. Spooner, Foot orthoses research: identifying limitations to improve translation to clinical knowledge and practice, Br. J. Sports Med. 52 (2018) 350. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096269.
- [25] A.S. Salles, D.E. Gyi, The specification of personalised insoles using additive manufacturing, Work. 41 (2012) 1771–1774. https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-2012-0383-1771.
- [26] M. Mannisi, A. Dell'Isola, M.S. Andersen, J. Woodburn, Effect of lateral wedged insoles on the knee internal contact forces in medial knee osteoarthritis, Gait Posture. 68 (2019) 443–448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.12.030.
- [27] E. Minerva Medica, Y. Yurt, G. Sener, Y. Yakut, European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine The effect of different foot orthoses on pain and health related quality of life in painful flexible flat foot: A randomized controlled trial, Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med. (2018). https://doi.org/10.23736/S1973-9087.18.05108-0.
- [28] A.S. Salles, D.E. Gy, An evaluation of personalised insoles developed using additive manufacturing, J. Sports Sci. 31 (2013) 442–450. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2012.736629.
- [29] R. Barn, M. Brandon, D. Rafferty, R.D. Sturrock, M. Steultjens, D.E. Turner, J. Woodburn, Kinematic, kinetic and electromyographic response to customized foot orthoses in patients with tibialis posterior tenosynovitis, pes plano valgus and rheumatoid arthritis, Rheumatol. (United Kingdom). 53 (2014) 123–130. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/ket337.

- [30] M. Reina-Bueno, M. del C. Vázquez-Bautista, S. Pérez-García, C. Rosende-Bautista, A. Sáez-Díaz, P. V. Munuera-Martínez, Effectiveness of custom-made foot orthoses in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized controlled trial, Clin. Rehabil. 33 (2019) 661–669. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215518819118.
- [31] S. Telfer, M. Abbott, M.P.M. Steultjens, J. Woodburn, Dose-response effects of customised foot orthoses on lower limb kinematics and kinetics in pronated foot type, J. Biomech. 46 (2013) 1489–1495. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2013.03.036.
- [32] R. Allan, J. Woodburn, S. Telfer, M. Abbott, M.P.M. Steultjens, Knee joint kinetics in response to multiple three-dimensional printed, customised foot orthoses for the treatment of medial compartment knee osteoarthritis, Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part H J. Eng. Med. 231 (2017) 487– 498. https://doi.org/10.1177/0954411917691318.
- [33] S. Telfer, M. Abbott, M. Steultjens, D. Rafferty, J. Woodburn, Dose-response effects of customised foot orthoses on lower limb muscle activity and plantar pressures in pronated foot type, Gait Posture. 38 (2013) 443–449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2013.01.012.
- [34] R.A. Tipnis, P.A. Anloague, L.L. Laubach, J.A. Barrios, The dose-response relationship between lateral foot wedging and the reduction of knee adduction moment, Clin. Biomech. 29 (2014) 984–989. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2014.08.016.
- [35] O. Ciobanu, Y. Soydan, S. Hızal, Customized Foot Orthosis Manufactured With 3D Printers, Proceeding IMS. (2012).
- [36] Issues in Design and Technology Teaching Google Livres, (n.d.). https://books.google.be/books?id=6T8R5wQtQw4C&pg=PA71&lpg=PA71&dq=the+added+val ue+of+CAD+CAM+in+education&source=bl&ots=2kslZnFnFo&sig=ACfU3U0_wfuDTw7JEdPL_e yobkd6cWzRHg&hl=fr&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwi-poHDiZXqAhUIaQKHTMUD3UQ6AEwAXoECAsQAQ#v=onepage&q=the added value of CAD CAM in education&f=false (accessed July 1, 2020).
- [37] J.H.P. Pallari, K.W. Dalgarno, J. Woodburn, Mass customization of foot orthoses for rheumatoid arthritis using selective laser sintering, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 57 (2010) 1750–1756. https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2010.2044178.
- [38] A. Gatt, C. Formosa, N. Chockalingam, The application of generic CAD/CAM systems for the design and manufacture of foot orthoses, Faoj. 9 (2016) 6. https://doi.org/10.3827/faoj.2016.0903.0006.
- [39] C.Y. Liaw, M. Guvendiren, Current and emerging applications of 3D printing in medicine, Biofabrication. 9 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1088/1758-5090/aa7279.
- [40] L.S. Chapman, A.C. Redmond, K.B. Landorf, K. Rome, A.M. Keenan, R. Waxman, B. Alcacer-Pitarch, H.J. Siddle, M.R. Backhouse, A survey of foot orthoses prescription habits amongst podiatrists in the UK, Australia and New Zealand, J. Foot Ankle Res. 11 (2018) 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13047-018-0304-z.
- [41] P.J. Martin, Thermoforming of polymers, Adv. Polym. Process. (2009) 352–383. https://doi.org/10.1533/9781845696429.3.352.
- [42] A. Gebhardt, Understanding Additive Manufacturing Rapid Prototyping Rapid Tooling Rapid Manufacturing, Carl Hanser, München. (2012) 591. https://doi.org/10.3139/9783446431621.
- [43] S. Mellor, L. Hao, D. Zhang, Additive manufacturing: A framework for implementation, Int. J. Prod. Econ. 149 (2014) 194–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.07.008.

- [44] T.D. Ngo, A. Kashani, G. Imbalzano, K.T.Q. Nguyen, D. Hui, Additive manufacturing (3D printing): A review of materials, methods, applications and challenges, Compos. Part B Eng. 143 (2018) 172–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.02.012.
- [45] M. Silva, A. Mateus, D. Oliveira, C. Malça, An alternative method to produce metal/plastic hybrid components for orthopedics applications, Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part L J. Mater. Des. Appl. 231 (2017) 179–186. https://doi.org/10.1177/1464420716664545.
- [46] A.D. Valentine, T.A. Busbee, J.W. Boley, J.R. Raney, A. Chortos, A. Kotikian, J.D. Berrigan, M.F. Durstock, J.A. Lewis, Hybrid 3D Printing of Soft Electronics, Adv. Mater. 29 (2017) 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201703817.
- [47] U. Ghosh, S. Ning, Y. Wang, Y.L. Kong, Addressing Unmet Clinical Needs with 3D Printing Technologies, Adv. Healthc. Mater. 7 (2018) 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201800417.
- [48] A.M. Paterson, R. Bibb, R.I. Campbell, G. Bingham, Comparing additive manufacturing technologies for customised wrist splints, Rapid Prototyp. J. 21 (2015) 230–243. https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-10-2013-0099.
- [49] L. Tang, L. Wang, W. Bao, S. Zhu, D. Li, N. Zhao, C. Liu, Functional gradient structural design of customized diabetic insoles, J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 94 (2019) 279–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2019.03.003.
- [50] G. Balducci, Stefano, Sacchetti, Massimo, Haxhi, Jonida, Orlando, Giorgio, D'Errico, Valeria, Fallucca, Sara, Menini, Stefano, Pugliese, Physical Exercise as therapy for type II diabetes, Diabetes. Metab. Res. Rev. 32 (2014) 13–23. https://doi.org/10.1002/dmrr.
- [51] W. Gao, Y. Zhang, D. Ramanujan, K. Ramani, Y. Chen, C.B. Williams, C.C.L. Wang, Y.C. Shin, S. Zhang, P.D. Zavattieri, The status, challenges, and future of additive manufacturing in engineering, CAD Comput. Aided Des. 69 (2015) 65–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cad.2015.04.001.
- [52] A. Bandyopadhyay, B. Heer, Additive manufacturing of multi-material structures, Mater. Sci. Eng. R Reports. 129 (2018) 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mser.2018.04.001.
- [53] D.E. Brown, Students' concept of force: The importance of understanding Newton's third law, Phys. Educ. 24 (1989) 353–358. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9120/24/6/007.
- [54] C. Terry, G. Jones, Alternative frameworks: Newton's third law and conceptual change, Eur. J. Sci. Educ. 8 (1986) 291–298. https://doi.org/10.1080/0140528860080305.
- [55] L. Bao, K. Hogg, D. Zollman, Model analysis of fine structures of student models: An example with Newton's third law, Am. J. Phys. 70 (2002) 766–778. https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1484152.
- [56] D. Ehrmann, M. Spengler, M. Jahn, D. Niebuhr, T. Haak, B. Kulzer, N. Hermanns, Adherence Over Time: The Course of Adherence to Customized Diabetic Insoles as Objectively Assessed by a Temperature Sensor, J. Diabetes Sci. Technol. 12 (2018) 695–700. https://doi.org/10.1177/1932296817747618.
- [57] Z. Pataky, D. De León Rodriguez, L. Allet, A. Golay, M. Assal, J.P. Assal, C.A. Hauert, Biofeedback for foot offloading in diabetic patients with peripheral neuropathy, Diabet. Med. 27 (2010) 61– 64. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2009.02875.x.
- [58] T.M. Owings, P.R. Cavanagh, J.L. Woerner, G. Botek, J.D. Frampton, Custom Therapeutic Insoles Based on Both Foot Shape and Plantar Pressure, Diabetes Care. 31 (2008) 839–844. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc07-2288.P.R.C.
- [59] J.F. Baumhauer, K.J. Bozic, Value-based Healthcare: Patient-reported Outcomes in Clinical

Decision Making, Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res. 474 (2016) 1375–1378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-016-4813-4.

- [60] Y.S. Kim, C.K. Cho, Y.D. Ko, H. Jee, E3 value concept for a new design paradigm, ICED 11 18th Int. Conf. Eng. Des. - Impacting Soc. Through Eng. Des. 2 (2011) 314–322.
- [61] T.P. Hughes, A.H. Baird, D.R. Bellwood, M. Card, S.R. Connolly, C. Folke, R. Grosberg, Climate Change, Human Impacts, and the, Science (80-.). 929 (2009) 929–934. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1085046.
- [62] M.G.A. Paris, Optimized quantum nondemolition measurement of a field quadrature, Phys. Rev. A At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 65 (2002) 5. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.65.012110.
- [63] World Health Organization, WHO Standards for Prosthetics and Orthotics Part 2: Implementional Manual, 2017. http://apps.who.int/iris.
- [64] M.F. Coakley, D.E. Hurt, N. Weber, M. Mtingwa, E.C. Fincher, V. Alekseyev, D.T. Chen, A. Yun, M. Gizaw, J. Swan, T.S. Yoo, Y. Huyen, The NIH 3D print exchange: A public resource for bioscientific and biomedical 3D prints, 3D Print. Addit. Manuf. 1 (2014) 137–140. https://doi.org/10.1089/3dp.2014.1503.
- [65] T. Pereira, J. V. Kennedy, J. Potgieter, A comparison of traditional manufacturing vs additive manufacturing, the best method for the job, Procedia Manuf. 30 (2019) 11–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2019.02.003.
- [66] Sharing_CAD_Models_Based_on_Feature_Ontology_of_Commands_History.pdf, (n.d.).
- [67] F. Fu, Design and Analysis of Tall and Complex Structures, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-101018-1.00006-x.
- [68] K.-H. Chang, Solid Modeling, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-382038-9.00003-x.
- [69] S. Milena, M. Ognen, Parametric Modeling for Advanced Architecture, Int. J. Appl. Math. Informatics. 5 (2011) 9–16. http://www.universitypress.org.uk/journals/ami/19-794.pdf.
- [70] G.-H.D.-H.S.-H. Choi, Exchange of CAD Part Models Based on the Macro-Parametric Approach, Int. J. CAD/CAM. 2 (2002) 13–21.
- [71] No Title有機溶媒を用いたセルロースの紡糸と精製セルロース繊維の構造特性, (n.d.).
- [72] J. Barrios-Muriel, F. Romero-Sánchez, F.J. Alonso-Sánchez, D.R. Salgado, Advances in orthotic and prosthetic manufacturing: A technology review, Materials (Basel). 13 (2020). https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13020295.
- [73] N. Oxman, Variable property rapid prototyping: Inspired by nature, where form is characterized by heterogeneous compositions, the paper presents a novel approach to layered manufacturing entitled variable property rapid prototyping, Virtual Phys. Prototyp. 6 (2011) 3– 31. https://doi.org/10.1080/17452759.2011.558588.
- [74] N. Oxman, Material-based design computation/, (2010).
- [75] F. Jiang, Y. Jiang, H. Zhi, Y. Dong, H. Li, S. Ma, Y. Wang, Q. Dong, H. Shen, Y. Wang, Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Past, present and future, Stroke Vasc. Neurol. 2 (2017) 230–243. https://doi.org/10.1136/svn-2017-000101.